

1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200 Alameda, California 94501 TELEPHONE: (510) 337-1001 FACSIMILE: (510) 337-1023 David A. Rosenfeld drosenfeld@unioncounsel.net

STEWART WEINBERG
DAVID A. ROSENFELD
WILLIAM A. SOKOL
BLYTHE MICKELSON
BARRY E. HINKLE
ANTONIO RUIZ
MATTHEW J. GALUSER
ASHLEY K. IKEDALINNA BALDWIN JONES
PATRICIA A. DAVIS
ALAN G. GROWLEY
KRISTINA L. HILLIMAN 4BRUGHLY P. RICH
BRUGHLY P. RICH
BRUGHLY P. RICH
RISTINA M. ZINNEN
JANNAH J. MANNEL A. WEINEN
JANNAH Y. MANNSALA
MANUEL A. BOIGUES Y
KERIANNE R. STEELEGARY P. PROVENCHEE
EZEWIEL D. CARDER P.
MONICA T. GUIZAR
LISL R. SOTO
JOLENE KRAMER

ALEJANDRO DELGADO
CAROLINE N. COHEN
XOCHITL A. LOPEZ
CAITLINE GRAY
TIFFANY CRAIN ALTAMIRANO®
RYAN B. KADEVARI
DAVID WM. FLJIMOTTO
ADAM. J. THOMAS
LIZETA R. RAMIEZE
PAUL K. PFEILSCHIEFTER
ALEXANDER'S. NAZAROY
ERIC. J. WIESNER
THOMAS I.M. GOTTHEIL
JERRY P.S. CHANO®
ANDREA C. MATSUOKA
BENJAMIN J. FUCHS®
CHRISTINA L. ADAMS ¥

OF COUNSEL

ROBERTA D. PERKINS
NINA FENDEL
TRACY L. MAINGUY*
ROBERT E. SZYKOWNY
ANDREA K. DON
LORI K. AQUINO•
SHARON A. SEIDENSTEN

Admitted in Hawaii Also admitted in Nevada Also admitted in Illinois Also admitted in Wev York and Alaska Also admitted in Florida Also admitted in New York Also admitted in Minnesota February 19, 2019

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Molly Dwyer, Clerk of the Court Office of the Clerk Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals 95 Seventh Street P.O. Box 193939 San Francisco, CA 94119

Re: NLRB v. International Ass'n of Bridge, Structural, Ornamental & Reinforcing Ironworkers Union, Local 229, Case No. 17-73210

Oral Argument Heard on February 15, 2019
Citation to Supplemental Authority Pursuant to FRAP 28(j)
Construction and General Laborers' Union No. 330, et al. v. Town of Grand Chute, United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit Case No. 18-1739

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

On February 14, the day before oral argument, the Seventh Circuit decided the above-referenced case.

This case involved "Scabby the Rat" which is an inflatable creature used by a labor union as part of labor speech.

The Court said:

As we acknowledged in our earlier opinion, there is no doubt that a union's use of Scabby to protest labor practices is a form of expression protected by the First Amendment.

Op. p. 11.

The Court also noted:

We may uphold the law that restricts even protected speech in a public forum if the restriction is content neutral, narrowly tailored to serve a significant governmental interest and leaves open ample alternative ways to communicate the desired message.

Id.

This Court has similarly applied a form of intermediate scrutiny to labor speech in a public forum. *Eagle Point Educ. Assoc. v Jackson Cty. Sch. Dist.*, 880 F.3d 1097, 1106-1107, (9th Cir.).

The NLRB will correctly point out that neither case applies either form of scrutiny to the National Labor Relations Act's restriction on speech.

This Court did not need to reach that issue in *Overstreet v United Bhd. Of Carpenters*, 409 F 3d. 199 (9th Cir. 2005) because the Court found the expressive conduct of bannering not to be picketing in a constitutional avoidance analysis and thus it was not prohibited by the Act. Such constitutional avoidance is not available here.

This recent decision of the Seventh Circuit is directly relevant to the necessity of applying either strict scrutiny or intermediate scrutiny to the labor speech involved in this case which did not involve any conduct, such as the use of an inflatable critter or a picket sign. The speech in this case involved in the words of the Board was not conduct but only "appealing" to employees (used four times) (ER. 6). Cf. *NLRB v. Ironworkers Local 433*, 891 F.3d 1182, 1187 (9th Cir. 2018) (statute regulates conduct rather than content).

Sincerely,

/s/ David A. Rosenfeld

David A. Rosenfeld

DAR:kk opeiu 29 afl-cio(1) 144454\1011316

cc: See attached Proof of Service

Case: 17-73210, 02/19/2019, ID: 11200031, DktEntry: 48, Page 3 of 3 Office of the Clerk February 19, 2019 Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States and an employee in the County of Alameda, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1001 Marina Village Parkway, Suite 200, Alameda, California 94501.

I hereby certify that on February 19, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing **CITATION TO SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY PURSUANT TO FRAP 28(j)** with the United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, by using the Court's CM/ECF system.

I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the Notice of Electronic Filing by the Court's CM/ECF system.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed at Alameda, California, on February 19, 2019.

/s/ Karen Kempler
Karen Kempler